

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/082,993	(	02/25/2002	Yun-Lung Chen	7041	
25859	7590	12/23/2003		EXAMINER	
WEI TE CI	WEI TE CHUNG HANSEN, JAMES ORVI			ES ORVILLE	
FOXCONN	INTERNA	ATIONAL, INC.			
1650 MEMOREX DRIVE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SANTA CL			3637		

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
•	•	10/082,993	CHEN, YUN-LUNG	
Office Acti	on Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		James O. Hansen	3637	
The MAILING D Period for Reply	ATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	;
THE MAILING DATE ( - Extensions of time may be an after SIX (6) MONTHS from in the period for reply specified if NO period for reply is specified.  Failure to reply within the set	OF THIS COMMUNICATIO allable under the provisions of 37 CF he mailing date of this communication d above is less than thirty (30) days, fied above, the maximum statutory pro or extended period for reply will, by so ce later than three months after the r	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of t	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communi ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
1) Responsive to c	ommunication(s) filed on 2	25 September 2003.		
2a)☐ This action is FI	<b>NAL</b> . 2b)⊠ <sup>7</sup>	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this applic	ation is in condition for alloance with the practice und	owance except for formal ma der <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the meri .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	its is
Disposition of Claims				
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6,12-</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)	s/are allowed. 14,16 and 17 is/are reject s/are objected to	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) f  Applicant may no	request that any objection to	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ the drawing(s) be held in abey	objected to by the Examiner. rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	121(d).
•			ed Office Action or form PTO-15	
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§§ 119 and 120			
a) All b) Sor  1. Certified of  2. Certified of  3. Copies of  applicatio  * See the attached  13) Acknowledgment  since a specific re  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translate  14) Acknowledgment	ne * c) None of: copies of the priority docur copies of the priority docur the certified copies of the n from the International Bu detailed Office action for a is made of a claim for don ference was included in the ion of the foreign language is made of a claim for don	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  I list of the certified copies nestic priority under 35 U.S.  I first sentence of the specie  provisional application has  nestic priority under 35 U.S.	Application No en received in this National Stage ot received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application Data	lication) Sheet.
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cite 2) Notice of Draftsperson's F 3) Information Disclosure St	atent Drawing Review (PTO-948	3) 5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 7-11 & 15 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 4. It is noted that these claims have been canceled [paper #5].

#### Claim Objections

2. Claim 16 is objected to because of the following informality: in line 6, the phrase "defining an drive opening" should be changed to --defining a drive opening--. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 12, the phrase "a <u>U-like</u> configuration" renders the claim indefinite because the claim may include elements not actually disclosed, thereby rendering the scope of the claim unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). Consequently, the remaining claims are rendered indefinite because they are dependent upon a rejected claim.

## Page 3

### Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 6. Claims 16-17 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 or claims 6-9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,652,048. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed limitations are disclosed within the cited claims of the publication.
- 7. Claims 1-6 & 12-14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,652,048 in view of Cook et al., [U.S. Patent No. 6,157,532]. The '048 publication depicts the claimed limitations as set forth in the instant invention, but does not specifically reference and claim the locking slots / hooks / projections. Cook is cited as an evidence reference to show that the use of locking slots (44 for example) and locking hooks or projections (22d for example) used to secure a computer cover to a computer cage/chassis is old and well known.

Application/Control Number: 10/082,993

Art Unit: 3637

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

8. Pending a properly filed Terminal Disclaimer along with an updated search by

the examiner, Claims 1-6, 12-14 & 16-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a

rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including

all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Moss, Liu et al., Dubin, British publication 2255674 and Ho

describe computer enclosures.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to James O. Hansen whose telephone number is 703-

305-7414. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9326.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

2168.

James O. Hansen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3637

JOH

December 12, 2003